

UK population in 2024 was about 69,2 million.

Questions to Google:

How many legal immigrants came to the UK in 2024?

How many illegal immigrants came to the UK in 2024?

AI Overview

In the year ending June 2025, approximately 948,000 people immigrated to the UK, of whom about 49,000 arrived illegally, primarily in small boats. The total number of legal immigrants can be estimated by subtracting the number of illegal arrivals from the total, resulting in roughly 900,000 legal immigrants.

Former British Army Colonel: "I predict civil war in the UK... There is no political solution."

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kQnEEPpPLgA>

14 mín.

KEMP: The more it develops, and it is going to develop more and more, the more unrest we're going to see. There's only so much I think the people can take, I would go far as to predict not just civil unrest, but civil war in the UK in the coming years. I would hate to be right on this, but I believe that I know there is no political solution.

TOMLINSON: Colonel Kemp, thank you very much for sitting down. As I mentioned off air, you get consulted a lot on the supra national threats that we face a lot on Iran, China, uh, Hamas and Israel's war, uh, possibly Russia. But Vice President Vance recently has been giving some unwanted but necessary warnings to Europe and the UK that we are watering the seeds of our own destruction. So what threats do you think the west faces from within, not just without?

KEMP: Well, I wouldn't describe Vice President Vance's uh warnings as unwanted. I think it's really important that we see a different perspective, you know, perspective from outside. Sometimes um a more realistic perspective can be seen by somebody who's not right involved in the situation.

So I think it was anyone who would reject his kind of commentary I think is very narrow-minded and and short-sighted as well. Um but we uh the primary face I think apart apart from uh external threats from different countries around the world. **The primary threat we face is from an alliance effectively of the hard left and Islamist extremists** um who have kind of together with various other causes have come together um in a in a in a way to threaten the cohesion and the the the culture in in many ways, **the entire culture and political um existence of the West**, not just the UK, but but the whole of the Western world.

And their primary um kind of rallying point for that at the moment, is Gaza. And that's not because they particularly care about Gaza. I'm sure that most of them don't care anything about Gaza.

TOMLINSON: Many don't know from which river to which sea, for example.

KEMP: No, I've spoken to many people and asked them about that very subject and they don't have a clue. And if you talked I've spoken to students about what they mean by when they talk about Israel committing genocide.

Uh and they don't know what genocide means. They say, "Oh, it means Israeli soldiers killing Hamas or whatever." You know, it's you can't expand it. They don't care about it. If they cared about um let's say about Muslims being killed or or just ordinary people dying around the world, they would focus on far far more uh far far uh more more deadly conflicts than we see in Gaza. But Gaza is the rallying point, the anti-western rallying point.

And those people who want to see uh Palestine freed from the river to the sea, as they put it, um what they really mean is **they want the downfall of the West** and they work to that end. And I think that's our primary um threat that we face today. And it's not just uh it's not just about protests on the streets is far more insidious than that.

and the whole agenda has um infiltrated our universities, our international institutes that affect the UK like the United Nations etc. the EU um and and and our pretty much our entire system of government and national institutions like schools. Um all of this all of these uh areas have been infiltrated by this movement over many years. And the the important thing to remember I think is that this is not just a spontaneous uh protest movement or political warfare campaign.

This is fostered by funded to a large extent by our uh international enemies like Russia, China, Iran and other countries as well.

TOMLINSON: So, I remember uh correct me if I'm wrong, but you were head of COBRA for a little while and I won't ask you to violate the official secrets act, but I as a as a layman just sort of reporting on these things from the outside. I scratch my head when the problem seems so obvious.

Palestine is the omni cause for a alliance of co-elligerance between **ecoealots, communists and Islamists who are using them as useful idiots**. And lots of those Islamist groups are essentially idea launcheries for the Muslim Brotherhood. You know, back in 2015, there was a report saying that the likes of the Muslim Council of Britain and the Muslim Association of Britain had been in some part co-founded by the Muslim Brotherhood.

But the Cameron government always shied away from just banning them outright as lots of even Muslim countries have done like Jordan and Egypt and uh the Emiratis. Why have governments been not only so reluctant to take action against the enemies that we know exist and have an undue influence uh over our politics, but also seem to add a lot of demographic

and thereby democratic fodder to this by importing people who have a lot of sympathies with jihadist groups from around the world?

KEMP: I think our our political leaders, and I'm not just talking about Starmer today or Cameron or Johnson or any of the others in recent years, are in a state of bewilderment. They're like rabbits in the headlight, if you know what I mean. Um, and they're not, it's not that they don't understand the problem and the threat that we face, because they do. And when I was, as you mentioned, I was involved with Cobra. I was um the head of the international terrorism uh intelligence team for the joint intelligence committee, the UK's top level intelligence organization and I was involved in briefing prime ministers and cabinet ministers on these threats. They understand them.

They understand them fully. But the problem is that they don't know what to do about it. They really don't. And and I think for most politicians, not not being critical of any specific politician, but for most politicians, their horizon is four years.

They want to keep uh a state of equilibrium for that time. They want to do what they can to make sure they win the next election. They don't want to take the kind of radical action that might be necessary to address these sort of problems. They really don't want to do that.

Um and and the other consideration they have is that and particularly with Keir Starmer today is that the Labour Party is so reliant on the hard left and on the uh the the is you the extreme Islamist elements within his electorate and there are many of them.

And when I say extreme I'm not talking necessarily about people who want to carry out terrorism but people who who want to drive the Islamist agenda through British society. Um and Starmer depends so heavily on them and he what can he do about it?

What he - what the way he sees of dealing with it - um is to appease them. And really we - we've, you know! The Starmer Labor Party, is the Jeremy Corbyn Labor Party hasn't changed. It's got a new coat of paint. Starmer, who tried to get Corbyn elected prime minister when he was on Corbyn's front bench, um pretends that it's a different party today. It isn't a different party.

It's the same party with the same pretty much the same agendas behind it, fundamental agendas behind it among his supporters. And the last thing he wants, the last thing he can afford to do given the other political pressures on him today, um not only with uh the economy, with uh the the need...

For example to significantly boost defense expenditure under pressure from Donald Trump. Um but also the you know the political attacks he's receiving from reform and indeed from will no doubt receive from Corbyn's new party.

Um all he all he can see as the way ahead is to appease the more extreme elements of his party. And that's why, for example, he rather than deciding to give his full support to Israel, which is fighting a um an unavoidable defensive war for its own survival, rather than supporting an ally on which we depend and which we owe so much to in so many different ways, including on defense, intelligence, etc.

Rather than supporting them, he is turned against them. uh and he's turned against you he's his announcements that he's going to um support a Palestinian state or recognize a Palestinian state and all of this which is so damaging. It's not so much damaging to Israel, but it's damaging to um it's damaging to Israel in the sense that it encourages and it uh it kind of hardens Hamas's position and Israel's enemy's positions.

And he's not doing that because he doesn't understand the reality of what's going on in Israel. He does. I can guarantee he understands that. He gets intelligence briefings of the type that I used to give when I was working for his predecessors. He gets those briefings. He knows the reality.

But what he wants to do is to pate the people who are cause who are causing trouble on his streets, the protesters um and and and the various other elements of British society that are anti-Israel. And so rather than his policy being based on genuine foreign policy considerations, they're based entirely on domestic policy considerations.

Uh and the same applies to Emanuel Macron for example in France and other world leaders.

TOMLINSON: Yeah, I think uh for those, especially among my generation, who are more concerned with domestic questions of identity and belonging than the economy or foreign policy, the Israel is being the omnicorause for the left.

Um, we can look at it through the lens of I have no doubt that lots of those protesters who are pro Hamas would like to repeat October 7th in our country. So even if Israel seems like a distant land to you, it's still a concern that it's happening here. But considering they get these intelligence briefings and and don't adjust in the fly, it sounds like a similar phenomenon that Dominick Cummings [British political strategist who served as Chief Adviser to British Prime Minister Boris Johnson] is describing where politicians take on a sort of superp position personality where they have to tell themselves polite ideological fictions to maintain the illusion of control.

And this is accelerated by the fact they feel in a very precarious position because of the culturally and ethnically gerrymandered populace they preside over and have to rely on groups like Muslim enclaves in our major cities as reliable voters so they themselves feel under threat. Is that the reason you think that they can't adjust to these problems? And do you think that if there's not a political offramp to the domestic problems we face? Do you agree with um Professor David Betts's warnings that if there is no democratic solution, these things could build

to civil unrest between the discontented natives who feel left behind and um immigrant client groups who clash with them on ethnic lines?

KEMP: Yeah, I I've I've had that fear and concern for a very long time. Um because I know I mean I I would hate to be right on this but I believe that I know that there is no political solution to the situation Britain faces today. When I say there's no solution, I don't mean that actually isn't a solution, but there's no solution that any of our politicians are going to take because for the reasons I mentioned before, they're afraid of doing anything significant that will cause upset among the um you know, their particularly their supporters, but the whole of the community as well. They're afraid they're really afraid of that. And so they're not going to find a solution to this problem. They're not going to find a solution to um illegal mass immigration into our country. They've they've made little effort to do so now. Um and I don't anticipate they will do so in the future. and the people in the country who are whose lives are being so dramatically affected by it, whether it's be in Eping where you've got uh an illegal immigrant um settlement center for the want of a better word in a hotel close to a school

and where they've there certainly been allegations of sexual abuse against school children in Eping and we've seen a degree of civil discontent there. Um and and there are many other ways in which our community is being affected. For example, by huge pressure on school places, on hospitals, on NHS doctors, um on civic civic services of all sorts, by a flood of both legal and illegal immigration.

Um there's only so much I think the people can can take of that. And they've been very very quiet up until now. the people in the UK have have not really raised their voices against this or very in a very limited way only. Um but the more it develops and it is going to develop more and more the more unrest we're going to see.

Um and and they have no option and I'm not encouraging or and I'm not kind of supporting this but I think the people will feel they have no option other than to take action into their own hands rather than rely on political leaders who are doing nothing in their eyes.

Um, so yeah, I think I think there's every likelihood. I think I would I don't know what the time frame is, but I would go far as to predict not just civil unrest, but civil war in the UK in in the coming years uh if this situation continues, which I believe it will.

TOMLINSON: especially if they keep censoring them. Well, thank you very much for your time, sir. I appreciate it. Thank you.

KEMP: My pleasure.